Establishing NEPA Range of During NEPA During Planning Alternatives Scoping Reasonable Alternatives Integration of planning and environmental review 23 U.S.C. 168 for PEL **General Considerations** No new significant info? Agency consultation Made the planning documents available Yes · Sufficient detail? for public review and comment by the Federal planning process Adopted planning product within 5 general public and Federal, State, local, · Identify preliminary alternatives and Follow transportation years of approval by the planning entity. and tribal governments that may have eliminate unreasonable alternatives planning process Appropriate for adoption? an interest in the proposed project · Multidisciplinary consideration of • Rational basis, reliable and reasonably Consider comments needs and effects current data, and acceptable • Notice of intent to adopt/incorporate Public notice of possible adoption · Participation by Federal methodology? • Lead agency decision on during NEPA and state resource adoption/incorporation No agencies and {Path using both 23 U.S.C. 139 & 168} **Indian Tribes** Efficient environmental reviews for project decisionmaking 23 U.S.C. 139(f)(4)(E)(ii) · Opportunity for public The document(s) should be State, MPO or local transportation agency Independent review of the available for review during scoping review and comments considers as part of a planning or State evaluation? Consider comments environmental review process Consult with cooperating and · Lead agency determination Yes Lead agency provides guidance participating agencies that the Concurrence by other Fed agencies · Use reliable and reason-· Public review and comment alternative is not necessary for NEPA? with jurisdiction on elimination of ably current data and • Alternative rejected after public involvement alternative(s) from detailed evaluation No reasonable, scientifically acceptable methodologies **CEQ NEPA Regulations 40 CFR 1501.12** FHWA and FTA review Identify preliminary Does it meet NEPA The document(s) should be Yes alternatives in a study or other as appropriate requirements? available for review during scoping planning document Consider comments • Lead agency decision on use Documentation orincorporate Additional No work or further This process is written for EISs, Planning Regulation 23 CFR 450.212 No action for other class of actions, (a)-(c) & 450.318 (a)-(d) requirements will have to be Yes met, as applicable. Will aid in establishing reasonable Use data and appropriate methodology • The document(s) should be range of alternatives? Perform analysis available for review during scoping Is ready for NEPA use? Identify preliminary alternatives Consider comments Consider the extent to which · Agency/public/tribal involvement and Lead agency decision on planning process includes: comment incorporate by reference and use -Agency involvement? FHWA/FTA review U.S. Department -Opportunity to comment? Documentation of Transportation -Public review? **Federal Highway** -FHWA/FTA review? Administration -Documented?

^{*}Except for the statutes and regulations cited, the contents of this document do not have the force and effect of law and are not meant to bind the public in any way. The document is intended only to provide clarity to the public regarding existing requirements under the law or agency policies. General considerations are not necessarily required by the statute or regulations; however, FHWA encourages these for all PEL approaches.

^{*}The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) has proposed to modify certain aspects of its 2020 NEPA regulations found at 40 CFR parts 1500-1508 using a phased approach. See 86 FR 55757, 55759 (Oct 7, 2021). If CEQ issues a final rule that amends any provisions of the CEQ regulations cited in this document, FHWA will update the citations in this document and make any other necessary changes.